



**BEFORE THE
UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND THE ENVIRONMENT

**TESTIMONY OF JOSEPH L. WELCH
CHAIRMAN, PRESIDENT AND CEO OF ITC HOLDINGS CORP.**

The Future Of The Grid: Proposals For Reforming National Transmission Policy

June 12, 2009

Good morning Chairman Markey, Ranking Member Upton, and Members of the Subcommittee. My name is Joseph L. Welch, and I am chairman, president and CEO of ITC Holdings Corp. (“ITC”), the nation’s first – and only – independent electric transmission company. I am honored by the opportunity to speak before you this morning to offer my perspective on legislation regarding transmission regulation.

Role of Independence


Before I begin I would like to provide some background as to the significance of the independent transmission company business model as I believe it is relevant to today’s discussion. As an independent transmission company, ITC is singularly focused on ownership, operation, maintenance and construction of transmission facilities as its single line of business. ITC has never invested in generation. All of ITC’s revenue is directed back to transmission rather than in any market activities. ITC is now the eighth largest transmission-owning company in the U.S., in terms of load served.

“Independence” means that there is de minimis or truly passive ownership by market participants and that there is minimal operating dependence on, and ongoing relationships or affiliation with, any market participant. To safeguard ITC’s independence, the company and its employees do not hold any market participant investments.

Through its independence, ITC has been able to maintain its focus on improving transmission: making it more reliable, more efficient, lowering the cost and ensuring non-discriminatory access. To that end, in its five or so years in existence, ITC has invested approximately \$1.2 billion in transmission system upgrades. In essence, the independent model aligns the interests of the company and its shareholders with those of electricity consumers.

This is markedly different than a vertically integrated utility that owns generation and distribution in addition to transmission. In fact, this vertically integrated utility business model is at the very center for why there has been a 30-year trend of underinvestment in the grid. Examples of underinvestment can be found in the following pictures of transmission equipment and assets that are typical of examples of underinvestment in the transmission grid.

Graphics 1 – 6: Typical Examples of Transmission System Underinvestment



Graphics 1 – 6: Typical Examples of Transmission System Underinvestment (cont.)



Graphics 1 – 6: Typical Examples of Transmission System Underinvestment (cont.)



That is not to say that lack of independence will always result in underinvestment. It is more accurate to say that the lack of independence of a vertically integrated utility may result in transmission being used as leverage to manipulate markets. As previously alluded to, this can be done by minimizing transmission system investment in order to maintain levels of congestion needed to protect high-cost generation.

Conversely, a vertically integrated utility with significant generation resources may want to build transmission as a means to bring its generation to market while perhaps not providing the same opportunity to other generators. It is for these very same reasons that

the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”) decided to form independent transmission companies to promote the non-discriminatory transmission access.

This independence is of particular importance as it relates to decision-making for field and control room operations, generator interconnections and both local and regional planning. A non-independent transmission owner faces competing interests. As such, independence from the energy market influence is critical in consideration to the electric transmission grid; however, the concept of independence should not be limited to the electric transmission companies. Equally essential is the independence of any regional planning organization with supporting governance and decision-making processes established in a manner that do not provide undue opportunity to thwart transmission development by stakeholders.

Overview of Legislative Issues

Today’s subcommittee hearing gets at the very heart of the policy issues facing the electric utility industry, and specifically to the challenges impeding the construction of regional transmission. Right now, the outdated laws that govern our electricity grid are standing in the way of America’s energy goals. If Congress is serious about making renewable resources available, reducing our dependence on foreign oil, meeting renewable energy standards, and addressing climate change and other environmental challenges, they need to start by modernizing the rules that govern the grid. In other words, due to the historical underinvestment in the nation’s grid, transmission, which should be the enabler, today is the roadblock to renewable resources.

Many of the issues set forth in today’s hearing are the symptoms of one fundamental problem: the lack of a national energy policy to guide planning. This national energy policy should clearly define national energy priorities such as the establishment of a federal renewable portfolio standard and federal regulation of greenhouse gas emissions. Having this information codified would greatly enhance our ability to plan for the regional transmission network that this country needs.

A critical component to grid modernization is rational cost allocation. The market participant-driven processes used to develop cost allocation rules have resulted on methodologies that are a barrier to transmission expansion. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission should be directed to undertaking a rulemaking process to determine the appropriate region or subregion for allocating costs for large, multi-state transmission projects based on the benefits realized by individual entities within the region. ITC believes that the costs for a regional transmission project should be harmonized across a broad geography in recognition of the multitude of benefits as well as increased system optionality provided by having a robust and highly-interconnected transmission grid.

The other two needed reforms are improved planning and siting rules, which will be discussed in greater detail.

Regional Planning under Today's Regulatory Constructs

ITC's operating companies (Michigan Electric Transmission Company, LLC, ITC Midwest LLC and International Transmission Company ("ITCTransmission")) are members of the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. ("Midwest ISO"), and in ITC's estimation the Midwest ISO has established a first rate technical staff and done a noble job working within the confines of the existing system that was thrust upon them to develop consensus around the Midwest ISO Transmission Expansion Plans. However, the Midwest ISO and its peers face significant challenges in their ability to develop truly regional transmission improvement plans under the current regulatory stakeholder framework. It is the endeavor for a transparent planning process that has ultimately led to the undue influence of market participants driven by voluntary membership and the subsequent derailment of true regional transmission plans.

The problems that prevent the development of truly regional transmission plans, however, can be solved by Congress or by the FERC. You may ask: how can it be said that there is no independent regional transmission planning given all the attention that the FERC has devoted to the creation and governance of Regional Transmission Organizations ("RTO") and Independent System Operators ("ISO")?

Voluntary Membership

The largest challenge that independent planning faces under the current model is that membership in RTOs, and thus participation in regional planning and cost sharing, is voluntary. If the regional/public interest and the interest of an individual member diverge, market participant stakeholders may endorse solutions that are not optimal for the region but rather satisfy the stakeholders' individual interests. If the RTO attempts to impose a solution that is in the regional interest, the stakeholder may threaten to leave the RTO potentially using membership fees as leverage. Additionally, individual states have the potential to leverage the voluntary membership to pressure its local utilities to leave the RTO if the state does not support a planned project and its associated cost. Another form of leverage that has been used by state regulators is the threat of not passing through the cost of a particular transmission project or the RTO membership fee.

Conflicts of Energy Markets and Transmission Planning

Additionally, another challenge faced by RTOs is related to their respective governance structures. Owning responsibility for both planning transmission and running the energy market may present competing interests.

This conflict of interest often results in RTOs relying on re-dispatch solutions instead of re-enforcing the transmission system. Indeed, one inadvertent byproduct of LMP markets is that the ability to purchase rights to "buy through" congestion effectively prevents building the transmission that would avoid the congestion in the first place. The consequences of doing business this way are evident. To begin, transmission and distribution losses nearly doubled between 1970 and 2001 (from 5 percent to 9.5 percent) due to heavier utilization and congestion. This is exacerbated by the belief that modeling can be done to such a level that all of the benefits of transmission additions can be accurately calculated.

Influence of Market Participants

The challenges inherent with the existing governance structure and stakeholder driven planning processes have one notable result – little to no true regional transmission has been planned or built. As alluded to earlier in the discussion of the voluntary nature of RTOs, the existing governance structures and stakeholder processes compromise the RTOs’ ability to independently plan the transmission system due to the influence of market participants. The regulatory framework permitting voluntary membership and the ability of market participants to play critical roles in RTO decision-making, RTOs cannot plan the transmission system from a truly independent perspective.

The stakeholder processes to which RTOs are bound, and to which the Commission continues to defer in Order No. 890, for example, can never be independent because the “stakeholders,” by definition are operating on behalf of their own needs and can “vote with their feet”. In fact, several Midwest ISO TOs have submitted letters of potential withdrawal ostensibly as a means to keep pressure on the RTO to protect their interests. A truly independent planning entity, under which membership would be mandatory, would be able to effectively identify needed regional transmission infrastructure without the threat of incumbent transmission owners threatening to withdraw from the organization.

The existing stakeholder processes result in transmission planning and related cost allocation protocols focused on the least common denominator rather than on developing a robust regional plan with a well-developed regional cost allocation mechanism. As a result, transmission plans have a narrow scope rather than having a regional focus, and the corresponding cost allocation protocols are complex and generally do not promote development of regional transmission.

In addition to categorizing transmission investments in a somewhat arbitrary fashion (e.g., economic, reliability, transmission service request, generator interconnection, etc.), each transmission upgrade is viewed as having winners and losers. Even stakeholders from the same sectors have varying interests. For example, generators in high cost areas have an incentive to frustrate transmission plans as a means to maintain existing constraints whereas generators in low cost areas want to remove existing constraints as a means to broaden their access to markets. Conversely, load regions with high costs want to remove the constraints in order to access more economic sources of energy while load regions with low costs are incented to maintain existing constraints as a means to insulate their area from market prices.

In these cases, some individual state regulators have had a parochial view and attempted to exert influence over the planning process as a means to optimize conditions for their individual state. This presents a case of competing interests because national policy issues such as climate change and a focus on environmental stewardship, energy security, regional reliability and market competitiveness cannot be addressed state-by-state.


Another example in which individual interests come directly in conflict with regional planning is as it relates to how costs are allocated for a particular project. As I mentioned

earlier in my testimony, regional planning goes hand in hand with cost allocation. The lack of a cost allocation mechanism can drive sub-optimal regional planning.

Generator Interconnection Queue

As the demand for the integration of wind and other renewable resources grows, the ability to effectively develop regional plans to interconnect these resources where the best source of wind is located is stifled. As shown in the map below, the current planning processes within the Midwest ISO do not support the level of demand for the integration of the wind resources in the Upper Midwest, a region with some of the most efficient wind resources in the United States. According to some estimates, a new generator would potentially have to wait up to 46 years in the generation interconnection queue before its project can be studied by the Midwest ISO. Clearly, reactive planning under the current configuration will not work as a means to build regional transmission.¹

Graphic 7: Midwest ISO Generator Interconnection Queue²



In sum the fundamental issues facing transmission planning under the current RTO configuration are directly related to the voluntary nature of RTO membership and the stakeholder-driven planning process that promotes an undue influence of market participants in the development of regional plans.

Moving Forward on Regional Planning

¹ The Midwest ISO has attempted to address this problem with its proposed Forward Looking Interconnection Project (FLIP) process. The link to the related Midwest ISO whitepaper can be found at http://www.midwestmarket.org/publish/Document/20b78d_11ef44fc9c0_-7bfb0a48324a/Midwest%20ISO%20Draft%20FLIP%20Whitepaper%20v2%20020609%20clean.pdf?action=download&_property=Attachment.

² http://www.midwestmarket.org/publish/Document/735a38_109988af51a_-7f5e0a48324a/MISO_Queue_Map.pdf?action=download&_property=Attachment

ITC's experience as an independent transmission company has given us unique insight into the value of independence in transmission operations and planning. This independence should not be limited to the transmission owning entity but should be extended to regional planning by the RTOs. ITC is not calling for general mandatory RTO membership; we are calling for mandatory planning. Where RTOs exist, RTO membership should be mandatory for purposes of transmission planning and cost allocation. Where RTOs do not exist, FERC's existing authority under Order 890 should be strengthened. As such, all transmission owners would then be required to pay an assessment to cover the costs of planning that would be the same regardless of which RTO the utility participates in, or if they are outside an RTO, thereby mitigating the risk of utilities voting with their feet.

In addition to ensuring mandatory and independent planning of the grid, Congress must also act to provide for broader planning regions. RTOs and other regional planning entities should continue to exercise their planning functions and roll those plans up to FERC. FERC should then have responsibility for coordinating and integrated regional plans within each interconnection to assure development of a single, cohesive plan for the nation's high priority transmission system. A broader planning region will facilitate the kinds of multi-state projects that are needed to deliver renewable resources to load centers and to establish a strong backbone system for the grid. However, provisions should be made in order that this new planning process serves to facilitate, not delay, projects that are already in process. Only then when we have a robust and flexible regional electric transmission grid that does not provide discriminatory access to any one party will the U.S. be able to benefit from the vast energy resources available and achieve energy independence.

Federal Siting Authority

Currently, transmission rates are regulated on a federal level by the FERC, but siting is regulated by individual states that naturally are focused on benefits to their respective state, not the region or the nation. For this reason, the building of significant regional transmission lines is virtually impossible. In many cases, transmission projects are delayed for years through cumbersome state siting processes. The FERC should be given a more significant role in transmission siting so that infrastructure development that is needed for the good of the entire country can go forward expeditiously.

This can be accomplished in one of two ways. FERC can assume responsibility for issues a Certification of Need for projects that come through the new, robust planning process. Under this approach, states would continue to have authority to route project as they are best informed on zoning, land use and other local concerns. Such an approach also avoids potential delays in creating the federal staff needed to undertake routing decisions across the country. There would need to be a reasonable federal back stop in place should a state fail to assume its responsibility to route the project.

The same result could be accomplished through expanding and strengthening FERC's existing backstop siting authority. Therefore, regional transmission projects approved by


the regional planning entity would continue to subject to state review, but if a state fails to act on, or rejects, a project within a year, the federal government can step in. This option has the potential of being more complex, could result in delays in siting, and will no doubt be subject to litigation.

ITC’s Green Power Express as Forcing Function on Policy Issues

A more tangible example of the value of independent regional planning can be found in ITC’s recently announced “Green Power Express”. While this project is still in its very early stages, the question of DC has already arisen. The Green Power Express is a broad network of 765 kV transmission facilities that has been designed to efficiently move vast amounts of renewable energy in wind-rich areas to major Midwest load centers. The Green Power Express is consistent with the vision outlined by President Obama in his national energy agenda. President Obama specifically mentioned his desire “to get wind power from North Dakota to population centers, like Chicago.”³

The Green Power Express will allow this goal to be met as well as set the stage for the integration of off-shore wind in the Great Lakes in the future. By having a robust extra high voltage (“EHV”) grid that serves as a transmission backbone in various regions, the geographically diverse wind becomes readily accessible and more economic thereby mitigating two of the major challenges with this naturally intermittent resource.

Graphic 8: ITC’s Green Power Express



We recently received the results of an independent study conducted by the Brattle Group, entitled “Transmission Super Highway: Benefits of Extra High Voltage Transmission Overlays,” which demonstrates that wind power becomes economically competitive

³ Transcript from appearance on Rachael Maddow Show of October 28, 2008: <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/27464980/>.

when it is generated from areas with the highest capacity levels. The study uses ITC's proposed Green Power Express development project as a model for examining the potential benefits of adding a high voltage overlay to our existing transmission system. It concludes that between 2010 and 2030, the Green Power Express alone could deliver up to approximately 12,000 MW of new wind energy, avoiding significant amount of carbon emissions.

The Green Power Express was designed to be an EHV backbone that would gather the wind from the disparate wind abundant areas and transport it eastward. In other words the Green Power Express as an alternating current ("AC") solution provides many on-and off-ramps to gather and distribute the wind power across a broad region. With DC there would be less flexibility for how wind would be integrated into the network. Additionally, DC presents some reliability concerns if used as the initial phase of an EHV backbone. Because it does not allow for easy redirection of power in the case of a line outage, at this point a DC solution would make the system reliability vulnerable.

In effect, through the development of the Green Power Express, ITC filled a gap that exists within the industry due the existing RTO governance that does not currently give the RTOs direction to do regional planning without undue influence of market participants. The absence of market participant influence and ITC's independence from undue market participant influence was critical in developing the right solution that improves electric reliability, effectively and efficiently integrates high capacity renewable energy to promote a cleaner environment, protects national security, and the environment. However, it should be recognized that while ITC was able to develop this plan free from undue market participant influence, the project will likely face the same challenges related to pressure from stakeholders related to individual interests as ITC shepherds the Green Power Express through an Order No. 890 compliant process.

As envisioned the Green Power Express will touch seven states, or seven distinct siting jurisdictions. Under the current siting system, this could mean that the project could get held up in court siting procedures for an indefinite amount of time. In order to realize the vast economic, environmental and reliability benefits of the Green Power Express in a timely manner, it is imperative that there is some form of backstop siting authority to compel the project forward.

It is widely recognized that the Upper Midwest is a region that has great potential to develop wind energy facilities. There are other regions that have similar opportunities such as wind in the Great Plains region or solar energy in the Southwest. Generation from these potential resources is intermittent due to the variable nature of wind and solar "fuel". As such, regional diversity will provide significant benefits as a means to dampen the impact of this resource intermittency. Consequently, independent regional transmission planning is essential as a means to identify and capitalize on the vast amount of renewable resources economically while protecting the overall reliability of the grid.

Conclusion

Our country is trying to tackle 21st Century energy challenges with an electric transmission grid largely built more than 30 years ago while operating under an outdated regulatory system. To put it simply, we will not meet our goals if we don't change how we do business. We urgently need to reform how we plan, locate and pay for new transmission. This requires moving beyond the parochial interests and fractured regulatory structure that has led to decades of underinvestment in our electricity grid. Congress and federal regulators have the ability to modernize the rules to allow private companies such as ITC and others to make much-needed investments. These are solutions that don't require an infusion of taxpayer dollars but will create new jobs and help address our looming energy and environmental crises.

There are a variety of stakeholders that support these types of reform. Attached to my testimony are examples of support for these policy changes needed to promote a robust and modern grid.

A modern grid will solve our environmental and renewable energy challenges *and* improve reliability and associated costs to the economy. Now is the time for Congress to encourage private investment in America's energy infrastructure.

Again, thank you, Chairman Markey, Ranking Member Upton and Members of the Subcommittee. I sincerely appreciate the focus that you are providing to the critical issue of the impediments to building regional transmission as the facilitator of an energy policy vision for a brighter, cleaner tomorrow.

EXHIBIT 1
Letter from Detroit Regional Chamber to Senator Stabenow



May 4, 2009

The Honorable Debbie Stabenow **Facsimile # 202-228-0325**
United States Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Stabenow:

I am writing on behalf of the Detroit Regional Chamber regarding legislation authored by Senator Jeff Bingaman pending before the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee aimed at expediting and improving regulation of electric transmission development. I encourage you, as a member of this committee, to support the interests of Michigan by voting in support of reforms to promote needed transmission expansion.

Removing impediments to transmission development means long-term job creation in Michigan and across the country. Transmission projects stimulate job creation in construction, engineering, and a variety of equipment sectors. Further, transmission facilities need to be maintained thereby supporting the existing work force we already have in the state. These are good paying jobs for trained workers.

Electric transmission is important to economic development in the state because it provides access to lower-cost power to fuel manufacturing facilities and run other businesses, keeping Michigan competitive, while reducing transmission congestion costs that increase consumer bills. Finally, a strong transmission system also helps ensure reliable delivery of electricity to business and customers, which is essential to prevent the significant economic losses that even a short interruption in power delivery can cause.

ITC Holdings Corp employs over 900 permanent and contract employees in the state of Michigan, many of who work and live in the greater Detroit area in Wayne, Oakland, and Macomb County. In 2008 alone, ITC paid over \$33 million in tax dollar to the state and is projecting to invest an additional \$180 million on infrastructure improvements.


On behalf of our 20,000-plus members, I encourage you to support legislative reform in three critical areas: transmission planning, siting and cost allocation. Independent transmission planning needs to be coordinated across a broader geographic region than it is today, while building on ongoing planning processes. This change is essential so that large projects, like ITC Holdings Corp.'s Green Power Express Project, can be realized. ITC estimates that this project will create an average of 18,000 construction jobs in North and South Dakota over a 4 year period – with additional job creation in the 4 other states the project will transverse. The additional investment in the Dakotas and other states means growth in ITC's headquarters operations which are located in Novi, Michigan. States should continue to have a leadership role in determining where transmission facilities within their borders are located. But, in determining whether a regionally

beneficial transmission project is needed, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission is the appropriate forum for making such a determination. Finally, the costs of building large transmission projects with broad, multi-state benefits should be allocated broadly as well. Without a more rationale approach to cost allocation large transmission projects will simply not be built despite their economic and reliability benefits and their contribution to meeting the nation's energy and environmental goals.

We recognize that transmission reform will likely be part of a larger legislative package dealing with potentially controversial issues. It is for this reason we need strong leadership to ensure we do not miss this job creation opportunity that will come, at no cost to taxpayers, from reform of existing transmission rules.

I urge your support of the provisions encompassed in language offered by Senator Bingaman.

Sincerely,



Brian A. Kandler
Director, Government Relations
Detroit Regional Chamber

Cc: The Honorable Thaddeus McCotter
Thaddeus.McCotter@mail.house.gov
Facsimile # 202-225-2667

EXHIBIT 2
Letter from Oakland County Executive L. Brooks Patterson
to U.S. Senator Stabenow



L. BROOKS PATTERSON, OAKLAND COUNTY EXECUTIVE

C O U N T Y M I C H I G A N

May 4, 2009

The Honorable Debbie Stabenow
United States Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Facsimile # 202-228-0325

Dear Senator Stabenow:

I am writing on behalf of Oakland County regarding legislation authored by Senator Jeff Bingaman pending before the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee aimed at expediting and improving regulation of electric transmission development. I encourage you, as a member of this committee, to support the interests of residents of Oakland County and Michigan by voting in support of reforms to promote needed transmission expansion.

Removing impediments to transmission development means long-term job creation in Michigan and across the country. Transmission projects stimulate job creation in construction, engineering, and a variety of equipment sectors. Further, transmission facilities need to be maintained thereby supporting the existing work force we already have in the state. These are good paying jobs for trained workers.

Electric transmission is important to economic development in the state because it provides access to lower-cost power to fuel manufacturing facilities and run other businesses, keeping Michigan competitive, while reducing transmission congestion costs that increase consumer bills. Finally, a strong transmission system also helps ensure reliable delivery of electricity to business and customers, which is essential to prevent the significant economic losses that even a short interruption in power delivery can cause.

ITC Holding employs over 900 permanent and contract employees in the state of Michigan, many of who work and live in Oakland County. In 2008 alone, ITC paid over \$33 million in tax dollar to the state and is projecting to invest an additional \$180 million on infrastructure improvements.

On behalf of Oakland County, I encourage you to support legislative reform in three critical areas: transmission planning, siting and cost allocation. Independent transmission planning needs to be coordinated across a border geographic region than it is today, while building on ongoing planning processes. This change is essential so that large projects, like ITC Holdings Corp.'s Green Power Express Project, can be realized. ITC estimates that this project will create an average of 18,000 construction jobs in North and South Dakota over a 4 year period – with additional job creation in the 4 other states the project will transverse. The additional investment in the Dakotas and other states means growth in ITC's headquarters operations which are located in Novi, Michigan. States should continue to have a leadership role in determining where transmission facilities within their borders are located. But, in determining whether a regionally beneficial transmission project is needed, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission is the appropriate forum for making such a determination. Finally, the costs of building large transmission projects with broad, multi-state benefits should be allocated broadly as well. Without a more rationale approach to cost allocation large transmission projects will simply not be built despite their economic and reliability benefits and their contribution to meeting the nation's energy and environmental goals.

May 4, 2009
Page Two

Oakland County and Michigan recognize that transmission reform will likely be part of a larger legislative package dealing with potentially controversial issues. It is for this reason we need strong leadership to ensure we do not miss this job creation opportunity that will come, at no cost to taxpayers, from reform of existing transmission rules.

I urge your support of the provisions encompassed in language offered by Senator Bingamon.

Sincerely,



L. Brooks Patterson
Oakland County Executive

cc: The Honorable Thaddeus McCotter
Thaddeus.McCotter@mail.house.gov
Facsimile # 202-225-2667

DEB - THIS IS REALLY IMPORTANT
LEGISLATION FOR MICHIGAN - PLEASE HELP.



EXHIBIT 3
Letter from Oakland County Executive L. Brooks Patterson
to U.S. Representative Rogers



C O U N T Y M I C H I G A N

L. BROOKS PATTERSON, OAKLAND COUNTY EXECUTIVE

May 4, 2009

The Honorable Mike Rogers
United States House of Representatives
133 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Facsimile # 202-225-5820

Dear Congressman Rogers:

I am writing on behalf of the residents and businesses in Oakland County regarding legislation authored by Representatives Henry Waxman and Ed Markey pending before the House Energy and Commerce Committee. I am aware this bill has very controversial provisions, but the provisions everyone should be able to support are those aimed at expediting and improving regulation of electric transmission development.

Removing impediments to transmission development means long-term job creation in Michigan and across the country. Transmission projects stimulate job creation in construction, engineering, and a variety of equipment sectors. Further, transmission facilities need to be maintained thereby supporting the existing work force we already have in the state. These are good paying jobs for trained workers.

Electric transmission is important to economic development in the state because it provides access to lower-cost power to fuel manufacturing facilities and run other businesses, keeping Michigan competitive, while reducing transmission congestion costs that increase consumer bills. Finally, a strong transmission system also helps ensure reliable delivery of electricity to business and customers, which is essential to prevent the significant economic losses that even a short interruption in power delivery can cause.

ITC Holding employs over 900 permanent and contract employees in the state of Michigan, many of whom work and live in Oakland County. In 2008 alone, ITC paid over \$33 million in tax dollar to the state and is projecting to invest an additional \$180 million on infrastructure improvements.


On behalf of Oakland County, I encourage you to support legislative reform in three critical areas: transmission planning, siting and cost allocation. Independent transmission planning needs to be coordinated across a broader geographic region than it is today, while building on ongoing planning processes. This change is essential so that large projects, like ITC Holdings Corp.'s Green Power Express Project, can be realized. ITC estimates that this project will create an average of 18,000 construction jobs in North and South Dakota over a 4 year period – with additional job creation in the 4 other states the project will transverse. The additional investment in the Dakotas and other states means growth in ITC's headquarters operations which are located in Novi, Michigan. States should continue to have a leadership role in determining where transmission facilities are located within their borders, but in determining whether a regionally beneficial transmission project is needed, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission is the appropriate forum for making such a determination. Finally, the costs of building large transmission projects with broad, multi-state benefits should be allocated broadly as well. Without a more rationale approach to cost allocation large transmission projects will simply not be built despite their economic and reliability benefits and their contribution to meeting the nation's energy and environmental goals.

May 4, 2009
Page Two

Oakland County and Michigan recognize that transmission reform will likely be part of a larger legislative package dealing with potentially controversial issues. It is for this reason we need strong leadership to ensure we do not miss this job creation opportunity that will come, at no cost to taxpayers, from reform of existing transmission rules.

I urge your support of inclusion of language to address transmission reforms as part of energy legislation before your committee.

Sincerely,



L. Brooks Patterson
Oakland County Executive

cc: The Honorable Thaddeus McCotter
Thaddeus.McCotter@mail.house.gov
Facsimile # 202-225-2667

Mike -
NEED YOUR HELP ON THIS
ONE PAL.
B

EXHIBIT 4
Letter from Oakland County Executive L. Brooks Patterson
to U.S. Representative Upton



L. BROOKS PATTERSON, OAKLAND COUNTY EXECUTIVE

C O U N T Y M I C H I G A N

May 4, 2009

The Honorable Fred Upton
United States House of Representatives
2183 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Facsimile # 202-225-4986

Dear Congressman Upton:

I am writing on behalf of the residents and businesses in Oakland County regarding legislation authored by Representatives Henry Waxman and Ed Markey pending before the House Energy and Commerce Committee. I am aware this bill has very controversial provisions, but the provisions everyone should be able to support are those aimed at expediting and improving regulation of electric transmission development.

Removing impediments to transmission development means long-term job creation in Michigan and across the country. Transmission projects stimulate job creation in construction, engineering, and a variety of equipment sectors. Further, transmission facilities need to be maintained thereby supporting the existing work force we already have in the state. These are good paying jobs for trained workers.

Electric transmission is important to economic development in the state because it provides access to lower-cost power to fuel manufacturing facilities and run other businesses, keeping Michigan competitive, while reducing transmission congestion costs that increase consumer bills. Finally, a strong transmission system also helps ensure reliable delivery of electricity to business and customers, which is essential to prevent the significant economic losses that even a short interruption in power delivery can cause.

ITC Holding employs over 900 permanent and contract employees in the state of Michigan, many of who work and live in Oakland County. In 2008 alone, ITC paid over \$33 million in tax dollar to the state and is projecting to invest an additional \$180 million on infrastructure improvements.


On behalf of Oakland County, I encourage you to support legislative reform in three critical areas: transmission planning, siting and cost allocation. Independent transmission planning needs to be coordinated across a broader geographic region than it is today, while building on ongoing planning processes. This change is essential so that large projects, like ITC Holdings Corp.'s Green Power Express Project, can be realized. ITC estimates that this project will create an average of 18,000 construction jobs in North and South Dakota over a 4 year period – with additional job creation in the 4 other states the project will transverse. The additional investment in the Dakotas and other states means growth in ITC's headquarters operations which are located in Novi, Michigan. States should continue to have a leadership role in determining where transmission facilities are located within their borders, but in determining whether a regionally beneficial transmission project is needed, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission is the appropriate forum for making such a determination. Finally, the costs of building large transmission projects with broad, multi-state benefits should be allocated broadly as well. Without a more rationale approach to cost allocation large transmission projects will simply not be built despite their economic and reliability benefits and their contribution to meeting the nation's energy and environmental goals.

May 4, 2009
Page 2

Oakland County and Michigan recognize that transmission reform will likely be part of a larger legislative package dealing with potentially controversial issues. It is for this reason we need strong leadership to ensure we do not miss this job creation opportunity that will come, at no cost to taxpayers, from reform of existing transmission rules.

I urge your support of inclusion of language to address transmission reforms as part of energy legislation before your committee.

Sincerely,



L. Brooks Patterson
Oakland County Executive

cc: The Honorable Thaddeus McCotter
Thaddeus.McCotter@mail.house.gov
Facsimile # 202-225-2667

Fred - THIS IS REALLY IMPORTANT
LEGISLATION FOR MICHIGAN - PLEASE
HELP.
B.

EXHIBIT 5
Letter from Utility Workers Union of America
to U.S. Senator Stabenow

UTILITY WORKERS UNION OF AMERICA


D. MICHAEL LANGFORD
PRESIDENT

STEVEN VANSLOOTEN
EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT

GARY M. RUFFNER
SECRETARY-TREASURER

JOHN DUFFY
VICE PRESIDENT

Affiliated with AFL-CIO



815 SIXTEENTH ST. N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC
20006
(202) 974-8200
(202) 974-8201 FAX
www.uwua.net

EXECUTIVE BOARD MEMBERS

HARRY FARRELL
HELEN OLAGUE-PIMENTEL
MIKE COLEMAN
SHAWN GARVEY
DAN HURLEY
CHARLIE D. RITTENHOUSE

WILLIAM STERNER
JIM ANDERSON
KELLY J. COOPER
JIM GENNETT
MONTE KOTUR
MARTA RODRIGUEZ-HARRIS

ROBERT KOVAR
JOHN CAPRA
ROBERT FARRELL
JAMES C. HARRISON
RICH MATA
JOHN SUGRUE

WILL ELLSWORTH
NOEL J. CHRISTMAS
ROBERT N. FRONEK
KEITH HOLMES
LUCIA PAGANO
GARY SULLIVAN

April 20, 2009

The Honorable Debbie Stabenow
United States Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Stabenow:

I am writing on behalf of UWUA's 10,000 members in the state of Michigan regarding legislation authored by Senator Jeff Bingaman pending before the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee aimed at expediting and improving regulation of electric transmission development. We encourage you, as a member of this committee, to support the interests of organized labor in your home state by voting in support of reforms to promote needed transmission expansion.

Removing impediments to transmission development means long-term job creation in Michigan and across the country. Transmission projects stimulate job creation in construction, engineering, and a variety of equipment sectors. Further, transmission facilities need to be maintained thereby supporting the existing work force we already have in the state. These are good paying jobs for trained workers.

Electric transmission is critical to economic development in the state because it provides access to lower-cost power to fuel manufacturing facilities and run other businesses, keeping Michigan competitive, while reducing transmission congestion costs that increase consumer bills. Finally, a strong transmission system also helps ensure reliable delivery of electricity to business and customers, which is essential to prevent the significant economic losses that even a short interruption in power delivery can cause.

UWUA strongly encourages you to support legislative reform in three critical areas: transmission planning, siting and cost allocation. Independent transmission planning needs to be coordinated across a border geographic region than it is today, while building on ongoing planning processes. This change is essential so large projects, like ITC Holdings Corp.'s Green Power Express Project, can be realized. States should continue to have a leadership role in determining where transmission facilities within their borders are located. But, in determining whether a regionally beneficial transmission project is needed, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission is the appropriate forum for making such a determination. Finally, the costs of building large transmission project with broad, multi-state benefits should be allocated broadly as well. Without a more rationale approach to cost allocation large transmission projects will simply not be built despite their economic and reliability benefits and their contribution to meeting the nation's energy and environmental goals.

UWUA recognizes that transmission reform will likely be part of a larger legislative package dealing with potentially controversial issues. It is for this reason we need strong leadership to ensure we do not miss this job creation opportunity that will come, at no cost to taxpayers, from reform of existing transmission rules.

Sincerely,

D. Michael Langford
President